

## Sheet 2

### *Exercises for March 7*

#### **Question 4. Correction to lecture** (Level A, 3 pts)

There was a mistake in the argument for the upper bound for the size of the maximal connected component during the lecture on February 26. I am sorry for this. Fortunately, this mistake can easily be corrected:

In the proof we remarked that (see below (3.18) on page 21 of the lecture notes)

$$P_{n,p}^{ER}[|\mathcal{C}_{max}| \geq a \log n] \leq P_{n,p}^{BP}[T \geq a \log n],$$

and followed by approximating the binomial branching process by Poisson branching process. This approximation cannot directly be used there.

Instead of this one recalls that  $P_{n,p}^{BP}[T \geq k] = P[H_0 \geq k]$ , where the random variable  $H_0$  is defined by  $H_0 = \inf\{k \geq 0 : \sum_{i=1}^k X_i - (k-1) = 0\}$ , and  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d.  $\text{binomial}(n, p)$ . Hence

$$P_{n,p}^{BP}[T \geq k] \leq P\left[\sum_{i=1}^k X_i - (k-1) \geq 0\right] = P\left[\sum_{i=1}^k X_i \geq k-1\right].$$

To complete the proof of (3.17) in the notes, it remains to recall  $p = \lambda/n$ , set  $k = a \log n$  with  $a > 1/I_\lambda$  and show that the last probability is smaller than  $n^{-1-\delta(\lambda,a)}$  with  $\delta(\lambda,a) > 0$ . This large deviation estimate is left for exercise.

#### **Question 5. Binary branching process** (Level B, 3 pts)

We consider the branching process whose offspring distribution is given by

$$P(X=2) = p = 1 - P(X=0), \quad p \in [0, 1].$$

- (a) Compute the extinction probability  $\eta$  in this case.
- (b) Give a formula for the generating function of the total progeny  $T$ . Sketch this function for  $p < 1/2$  and  $p > 1/2$ .
- (c) Give an estimate on  $\mathbb{P}[T \geq k]$  for  $k$  large in the case  $p = 1/2$ .

*Hint.* What is the process  $S_k$  in this case?

**Question 6. Martingales and branching processes** (Level B, 4 pts)

Consider a branching process with an arbitrary offspring distribution satisfying  $E[X] = \mu < \infty$ . Recall that  $Z_n$  denotes the size of  $n$ th generation. Show that

- (a)  $M_n = \mu^{-n} Z_n$  is a non-negative martingale w.r.t. filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ .
- (b) Use a suitable martingale convergence theorem to show that  $Z_n/\mu_n$  converges a.s. to a finite random variable  $W_\infty$ .
- (c) Show that  $W_\infty = 0$  if  $\mu \leq 1$  and that  $P(W_\infty = 0) \geq \eta$ .
- (d) Show that the generating function of  $W_\infty$  satisfies the functional equation

$$G_{W_\infty}(s) = G_X(G_{W_\infty}(s^{1/\mu})).$$

*Remark.* In general, it is not true that  $P[W_\infty = 0] = \eta$ . Actually, as proved by Kesten and Stigum (1966), this holds true iff  $E[X \log X] < \infty$ . In this case  $M_n$  is uniformly integrable martingale and thus also  $E[W_\infty] = 1$ .